

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/178,840	10/26/1998	ANGELIKI OSTE TRIANTAFYLLOU	P/2432-19	5038
24998	7590 05/02/2003			38
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526			EXAMINER	
			SHERRER, CUR	RRER, CURTIS EDWARD
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MN-39

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/178,840

Traintafyllou

Examiner

Art Unit

111000			
_			
T HEREIT		BE COLONIA H	i de 1881 i i
1111111	# # ##################################		
1 1888	1881 # 8 181 1861	11/1/1/1/1	ar an i

	Curtis E. Sherrer	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply	on the cover sheet with the corres	Dondones edd	
			288
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM	
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTH:	S from the
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the lift of the lif	mailing trom the mailing	n data of this	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 30, 2</u>	002		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action	ion is non-final.		· · ·
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par Disposition of Claims	xcept for formal matters, prosec	ution as to the	merits is
Disposition of Claims	<i>te Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 C	.G. 213.	_
4) Claim(s) 1-9, 11-14, and 21-25			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	ending in the a	application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)5) Claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn fror	n consideration.
	is	/are allowed.	
5744 Sidiff(S) 1-3, 11-14, and 21-25	is	/are rejected	
0.0.111(0)	is	are objected to).
8) ClaimsApplication Papers	are subject to restriction	on and/or electi	on requirement.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			,
10) The drawing(s) filed on	_		
is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected	to by the Exam	iner.
the dre	wing/of haller the		1
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	is: a) □ approved b)	disapproved	by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	uns Office action.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. & 119/5/ /d/	- (4)	
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	7 10 00 01010. 3 110(a)-(u)	Or (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have to	peen received.		
2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have b	peen received in Application No.		
application from the International Pursuant	ments have been received in this	National Stage	e .
and attached detailed Office action for a list of the called the c	ertified conies not recoived		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pri	Ority under 35 H.S.C. & 110(a)		
The translation of the foreign language provisional at	plication has been received		
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price Attachment(s)	ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and	d/or 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (DTO con-			
2) Notice of Draftenerson's Return Day is a	Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
3) Information Disclosure Statement 1 (200	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	
Patent and Today of a se	_		

Serial Number: 09/178,840

Art Unit: 1761

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3, 5, 13, 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is indefinite because there is no antecedent basis for the boiling step.

Claim 5 is indefinite because it is unclear where in the process a malted cereal is used. Similarly, claim 13 includes Markush group members that appear to be outside the scope the invention claimed in claim 1.

Claim 22 is indefinite because it is unclear how the beta glucanase is inactivated yet beta glucanase is present. Because of the indefiniteness the claims they (claims 22-25) are unsearchable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Serial Number: 09/178,840

Art Unit: 1761

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-9, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott (Jnl. of The Institute of Brewing, Vol. 78, No 2, pp. 179-86) in view of applicants' admissions (Page 1 of specification).

Scott has been previously discussed. Scott is now relied on for teaching the inactivation of beta glucanase by use of milled barley that was heated for 3 hours to 65 C. Papain (protease) and alpha amylase (starch degrading enzyme) was added. The result of this process was "high yields of beta glucan than extracts form ethanol-inactivated barleys. (Last ¶ on page 185, col. 1). The mash is prepared form using 50 grams of barley and 400 ml of water or a 12.5 % by weight solution. The mashing temperature was as stated above. Because the enzyme is inactivated, and therefore, the process is substantially identical (Scott inactivates while mashing, and applicants inactivate and then mashes) and the final concentration of beta glucan is inherently the same.

5. Scott teaches that cited above but does not clearly teach where the grain is first inactivated and then mashed, but rather it appears that these two steps occur simultaneously. It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to separate a one step process into a two step process. See MPEP 2144.04-IV-C.

It is again noted that Applicants admit, on page 1 of their specification, that "water soluble native B-glucan is of major nutritional interest. It is the chemical constituent of 'soluble dietary fiber,' SDF, considered to be responsible for the association between oats products and reduced risk for coronary hear disease. A variety of health food products rich in SDF are currently on the

Serial Number: 09/178,840

Art Unit: 1761

market." Applicants also state that "barley and other cereals contain SDF." Therefore, it would have

been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to produce a wort rich in B-glucan so as to produce

a healthy beverage.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-14 and 21-25 have been considered but 6.

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8.

should be directed to Curtis Sherrer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3847. The examiner can

normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 to 4:30. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703)-305-3602.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed 9.

to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer

Primary Examiner

May 1, 2003

4